State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Reso	urces
dnr.wi.gov	•

Final Report
Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint
Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189 (R 11/05)

Page 1

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Final Repo	ns: The grant agreem ort form must be used report to DNR.	ent requires grantees t in conjunction with th	o submit a Final Repo e "FINAL REPORT IN	ort 60 days after the end STRUCTIONS." The ins	l date listed in the grar tructions detail how to	it agreement. This complete and
1: Grant T	The second secon					and the second
Agricu	ultural - Targeted Rund	off Management Grant				•
Urban	- Targeted Runoff Ma	nagement Grant				
	_	int Source & Storm Wate	er Management Grant			
	•	Source & Storm Water M				
	e & Project Information					
Project N	ame			Grant Number		
Village of	f Hartland Stormwate	er Utility		USP-LR13-67136-06/		
Governm	ental Unit Name			Governmental Unit Type	e (city, village, town, etc.)
Hartland			<u>.</u>	Village		
Watershe	d Name			Watershed Code		
Bark Rive	er, Upper Fox/Illinois			LR14-012, FX07-020		
DNR Wat	er Management Unit (River System) Name		Water Body Identification	n Code (WBIC) (if applic	cable)
Lower Ro	ock			813500		
s. 303(d)	Waterbody?	Yes No			- A	
What poll	utant(s) were address	ed by the project?	·			
Total Sus	spended Solids .					
Eoropoh	project site location or	ovide the following: (atta	ch additional sheets if	necessary)	•	
		A		C	D	a a care a re si crist u
Minor Cív	il Division Name	Hartland				
PLSS	Town	T7N				
	Range	R18E				
	Section	3			1	
	Quarter	NE				
	Quarter-Quarter	NE				
Latitude		43 Degrees 6' 11.72"N				
L.ongitude		88 Degrees 20' 51.54"N				
Property Owner(s)	Name	Village of Hartland				•
	Mailing address	210 Cottonwood Ave, Hartland, WI 53029				
Site addre	ess nt than mailing	See Final Report for Study Boundary				

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities
For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application.
For grants issued <u>prior</u> to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, to the best of your knowledge, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
•	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
'	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
-	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms	·	
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
•	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Pounds TSS reduced		
,	% TSS reduction		

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Uroan Areas	Units of Measure	- Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Olly sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	■Measurement Method Used
Quantify how implementation of the planning project	Municipalities planned for	1	planning in report
decreased storm water impacts on state waters (i.e., storm water plan, I & E plan, etc.)	Acres planned for	2901	planning in report
Document/track progress made in implementing the planning	Municipalities planned for	1	planning in report
product (i.e., ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, etc.)	Acres planned for	2901	planning in report
Other (specify)			

Final Report Targeted Runoff I	Management and U	Irban Nonpoint Source	e & Storm Water Management Grant Programs
Form 3400-189 (R 11/05)		•	Page 3

_	Daniel and	m N .	Narrative
В.	PIDEG	RESURS	Managive

This project was to create a stormwater utility to assist in the implementation of the Village of Hartland's Stormwater Management Program. Creation of the stormwater utility also follows the adoption of the Stormwater Management and Erosion Control Ordinances (2005) and the completion of the Village-Wide Stormwater Management Plan (2005). The Village-Wide Stormwater Management Plan was completed under a UNPS Grant procured for the 2004-2006 cycle. Funding through a stormwater utility will provide a financial mechanism for compliance with NR 216 and NR 151 Nonpoint Source Runoff requirements.

Planning activities that were completed under this grant period include:

- 1. A Stormwater Feasibility Study was completed which defined the service area, indentified programs and services to be funded by the utility, and estimated potential costs of providing the desired services. The Feasibility Study also analyzed options for generating revenue to address the needs indentified in the Level of Service analysis.
- 2. A Stormwater Utility Task Force was created to coordinate the Stormwater Utility Formation activities. This group was developed to provide guidance and input to the stormwater utility formation.
- 2. The adoption of the utility was recommended by the stormwater utility task force to Village Board. The Village board approved the feasibility study and requested a rate study to be completed. This study analyzed the Village land use in detail and established stormwater utility rates. The analysis was based on aerial photography, land use data, parcel mapping, and the Village tax parcel database. The base unit for the stormwater utility was determined by analyzing a representative sample of residential properties. This base unit was used as the basis for the Equivalent Runoff Unit (ERU) of which all non-residential and tax exempt parcels ERU's were determined. The Stormwater user fee was established based on the ERU analysis calculations.

user fee was established b	ased on the E	RU analysis calculations.				
indentified to have sufficiently Village Utility Accounts date	ent capacity foi itabase, a masi	tormwater utility, a stormwater utilier account file was created for	ity line item. By combin or the incorporation of th	ning the imperviou he ERU fee in the l	s area cai pilling data	culations and the
		pleted and presented to the	/illage Board and other	interested stake n	olders.	
4. Satisfaction of Notice Rec	juirements (if ar	plicable)	11		ahihitiana	ntovide information
If cost sharing for this project for each notice in the table b		nder a formal notice to achieve	compliance with performa	nce standards or pr	UIIDRIONS,	provide information
TOT CACH HOUSE IT THE TABLE I	MANAYAYAY (ESCE	Notice Information		je sa nastali n	otice Satis	faction Information
	200-12 /C F0F-W-1			Se Si	tisfied?	表的数据表示
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Nam	e). Ye	s No	Date Letter Sent
Section of the sectio		West State S		ļ		
					<u> </u>	
		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
	,					
5. Summary of Project Chal	lenges		新说。\$P\$ 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10		學的物學	
				3		
6. Additional Information ab	out the Project (optional)			2.沙学教学	
					70 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
7. Planning Product (UNPS	AND A SECOND OF THE	stately state of the state of t			-4.7.285744	
Check here if a printe Coordinator.	ed copy of the pl	anning product (e.g., plans, ord	inances, analyses) was s			<u> </u>
Name of Document			Date(s) effective	Date Subm	itted to NP	S Coordinator

Final Report Targeted Runoff Management and Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Programs
Form 3400-189 (R 11/05) Page 4

Village of Hartland - Stormwater Management Plan	July 2005	7/15/2005
8. Grantee Certification:		
Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the in	nformation contained in this report is	correct and true.
Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying	here.	
Mike Einweck, Director of Public Works		
Signature of Authorized Representative		Date
While Einer		12-17-08

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT FOR URBAN PLANNING PROJECTS FUNDED BY THE URBAN NONPOINT SOURCE & STORM WATER GRANT PROGRAM

				o the regional DNR g mothy.Parsons@wise		
Quarter Covered	in R	eport	Governmental	l Unit (Grantee)		Grant No.
12/31/07 10/31/08		Village of	f Hartland	US	P-LR13-67136-06	
Grantee Contact	Pers	on (Contact's Em	ail	Co	ontact's Phone #
Mike Einweck, DPW mikee@villageofhartland.com				2	62-367-4880	
Village of Hartlan	d Sto	shown on Grant): rmwater Utility vity (Place an X in a	Ill the boxes ti		12/31/	
I&E		Storm Water Plan	Other (Descr	ibe):		
Ordinance	Х	Storm Water Utility				<i>₹</i>
		J.				·
General Respor	ses	Regarding Grant Ac	tivity (Fill in th	ne Correct Respons	se)	
A1. Who will com	ıplete	the planning activity.	: Consultant o	r Grantee's Staff?		Consultant
A2. Overall, gran	t acti	vity is what <i>Percenta</i>	ge complete?			99 %
A3. Which word I	oest o	describes project stati	us: Ahead / Or	n / Behind schedule'	?	On

DIRECTIONS: Please place an "X" in the box that describes the status of each task at the end of the quarter, or if the task is complete then enter the Date Completed (mm/dd/yy). Make only one entry for each task.	Not Applicable	Task Not	In Progress	Date Completed
Pre-Approvals (Grantee initiates pre-approval requests)				
B1. Draft RFP for consultant search pre-approved by DNR	Х			
B2. "In-house" planning rate pre-approved by DNR	Х			
B3. Professional Service Contract pre-approved by DNR				3/1/06
Reimbursements, Final Approval, and Final Billing				
C1. Reimbursement request submitted for this reporting quarter			Х	Attached
C2. Draft planning documents submitted to DNR for review				Nov. 2007
C3. Completed product approved by the community				Dec. 2007
C4. Final planning documents submitted to the DNR				Dec. 2007
C5. Final reimbursement billing submitted to DNR	1			Attached
C6. Grant Amendment to extend grant time period issued by DNR				Dec. 2007

^{**}Please note quarterly progress report form continues on next page **

Quarterly Reporting Narrative

Please provide a one paragraph narrative to discuss these issues:

- > Describe any problems that have occurred within grant period,
- > Discuss any delays, and how they will affect the overall grant timetables,
- > List any modifications made to the original project description,
- > Outline any budget changes that have affected the grant progress.

Problems/Delays/Modifications/Budget Changes for Project

• Implementation of the utility has been delayed until additional resources can be acquired by the Village.

Narrative Update

- Impervious area measurements and initial ERU calculation are complete.
- Task Force has voted to recommend adoption of Stormwater Utility Feasibility Study, user fees and ERU's. Village Board voted in December, 2007 to proceed with the utility implementation.
- Stormwater Utility Ordinance was created.
- Master Accounts Database has been completed and submitted to the Village.
- Refer to attached spreadsheet for current budget status and completion levels per tasks identified in grant application.